	Case 2:23-cv-00323-DAD-JDP Documer	nt 6 Fil	led 04/21/23	Page 1 of 2
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	JACK LY,	Case	No. 2:23-cv-0	323-DAD-JDP (PS)
12	Plaintiff,			
13	v.	ORD	ER TO SHOW	/ CAUSE
14	AMAZON.COM SERVICES LLC,			
15	Defendants.			
16				
17	The court previously issued an order setting an initial scheduling conference for April 27,			
18	2023, and directed the parties to file status reports by no later than April 13, 2023. ECF No. 3.			
19	Defendant timely submitted a status report. Plaintiff, however, failed to file his own status report.			
20	To manage its docket effectively, the court imposes deadlines on litigants and requires			
21	litigants to meet those deadlines. The court may dismiss a case for plaintiff's failure to prosecute			
22	or failure to comply with its orders or local rules. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); Hells Canyon Pres.			
23	Council v. U.S. Forest Serv., 403 F.3d 683, 689 (9th Cir. 2005); Carey v. King, 856 F.2d 1439,			
24	1440-41 (9th Cir. 1988). Involuntary dismissal is a harsh penalty, but a district court has a duty to			
25	administer justice expeditiously and avoid needless burden for the parties. See Pagtalunan v.			
26	Galaza, 291 F.3d 639, 642 (9th Cir. 2002); Fed. R. Civ. P. 1.			
27	The court will give plaintiff the opportunity to explain why sanctions should not be			
28	imposed for failure to file a status report. Plaintiff's failure to respond to this order will constitute			
		1		
		•	•	

another failure to comply with a court order and will result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. Should plaintiff wish to continue with this lawsuit, he shall also file a status report as required by the court's March 13, 2023 order. Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that: 1. The initial scheduling conference currently set for April 27, 2023, is continued to May 18, 2023. 2. By no later than May 4, 2023, plaintiff shall file a status report in accordance with the court's March 13, 2023 order. See ECF No. 3. 3. Plaintiff shall show cause, by no later than May 4, 2023, why sanctions should not be imposed for failure to comply with the court's March 13, 2023 order. 4. Failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions, including a recommendation that this action be dismissed for lack of prosecution and for failure to comply with court orders. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: <u>April 20, 2023</u> JERÉMY D. PETERSON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Case 2:23-cv-00323-DAD-JDP Document 6 Filed 04/21/23 Page 2 of 2